

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket No: 55503 (4

In re patent application of

HOLM, ARNE et al.

Serial No. 09/551,336

Filed: April 18, 2000

For: IMPROVED SOLID-PHASE PEPTIDE SYNTHESIS AND AGENT FOR USE IN SUCH

SYNTHESIS

STATEMENT TO SUPPORT FILING AND SUBMISSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.821-1.825

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231
Box SEQUENCE

Sir:

In connection with a Sequence Listing submitted concurrently herewith, the undersigned hereby states that:

- the submission, filed herewith in accordance with 37
 C.F.R. § 1.821(g), does not include new matter;
- 2. the content of the attached paper copy and the attached computer readable copy of the Sequence Listing, submitted in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(c) and (e), respectively, are the same; and
- 3. all statements made herein of their own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United

JAN 23 2002 # G

Serial No. 09/551;336

States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent resulting therefrom.

Respectfully submitted,

Nov. 6,2001

HARBOR CONSULTING
Intellectual Property Services
1500A Lafayette Road
Suite 262
Portsmouth, N.H.
800-318-3021

2



Docket N . 55503 (45487)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

Applicant:

HOLM, Arne et al.

USSN:

09/551,336

Group No.: 1653

JAN 2. 2. 2002 TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Filed:

April 18, 2000

Examiner: GUPTA, A.

For:

IMPROVED SOLID-PHASE PEPTIDE SYNTHESIS AND AGENT FOR USE IN

SUCH SYNTHESIS

Honorable Commissioner of Patents And Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 November 26, 201.

By:

Annemarie Serrécchia

SUBMISSION OF "SEQUENCE LISTING," COMPUTER READABLE COPY, AND/OR AMENDMENT PERTAINING THERETO FOR BIOTECHNOLOGY INVENTION CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE

(check and complete this item, if applicable)

This replies to the Office Letter DATED 1. [X]

9/28/01

NOTE: If these papers are filed before the office letter issues, adequate identification of the original papers should be made, e.g., in addition to the name of the inventor and title of invention, the filing date based on the "Express Mail" procedure, the serial number from the return post card or the attorney's docket number added.

> A copy of the Office Letter is enclosed. [X]

IDENTIFICATION OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

2. I, Peter F. Corless (type or print name of person signing below)

state the following:

ITEMS BEING SUBMITTED

Holm, et al. ... 09/551,336

Page 2 of 5

3. Submitted herewith is/are

(check each	item as applicable)		
	equence Listing" is assigne	for the nucleotide and/or ami d a separate identifier as requ	
		escription and/or claims, when quired in 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(d).	
		ence Listing" submitted for the ents of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.821(e) a	
	D. [] Please transfer to this application, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § Inputer readable copy(ies) from applicant's other application identified as follows:		
	In re application of:		
	Application No.: 0 /		Group No.:
	Filed: For:		Examiner:
	ter readable form(s) of appapplication as follows:	olicant's other application con	responds to the "Sequence
Computer Readable Form		"Sequence Identifier"	
(other application)		(this application)	
		,	

NOTE: "If the computer readable form of a new application is to be identical with the computer readable form of another application of the applicant on file in the Office, reference maybe made to the other application and computer readable form in lieu of filing a duplicate computer readable form in the new application. The new application shall be accompanied by a letter making such reference to the other application and computer readable form, both of which shall be completely identified." 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(e).

E. [X] A statement that the content of each "Sequence Listing" submitted and each computer readable copy are the same, as required in 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(g).

[] Because the statement is not made by a person registered to practice before the Office, the Statement is verified as required in 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(b).

Holm, et al. 09/551,336

Page 3 of 5

F. [X] Because this submission is made in fulfilling the requirement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(g), a statement that the submission includes no new matter.

[] Because the statement is not made by a person registered to practice before the Office, the statement is verified, as required in 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(g).

STATEMENT THAT "SEQUENCE LISTING" AND COMPUTER READABLE COPY ARE THE SAME AND/OR THAT PAPERS SUBMITTED INCLUDES NO NEW MATTER

4. I hereby state:

(complete applicable item A and/or B)

- A. [X] Each computer readable form submitted in this application, including those forms requested to be transferred from applicant's other application, is the same as the "Sequence Listing" to which it is indicated to relate.
- B. [X] All papers accompanying this submission, or for which a request for transfer from applicants' other application, introduce no new matter.

STATUS

- 5. Applicant is
 - [x] a small entity. A statement:

[] is attached.

[X] was already filed.

[] other than a small entity.

EXTENSION OF TERM

6. NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of Dec.10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

Holm, et al. 09/551,336

Page 4 of 5

ERIAL NO.: 09/551,33

7. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

Ų.

(complete (a) or (b) as applicable)

(a) [X] Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)		Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity
[X] [] []	one month two months three months four months	\$ 110.00 \$ 390.00 \$ 890.00 \$1,390.00	\$ 55.00 \$ 195.00 \$ 445.00 \$ 695.00

Fee \$ 55.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

of \$ _	[] An extension for months has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.
	Extension fee due with this request \$
	OR
	(b) [] Applicant believes that we extend on a form is required Harrison this

(b) [] Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.

FEE PAYMENT

8. [X] Attached is a check in the sum of \$ 55.00.
[] Charge Account No. the sum of \$ A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

FEE DEFICIENCY

9. NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G. 31-33.

Holm, et al. ... 09/551,336

Page 5 of 5

10. [X] If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 04-1105

SIGNATURE(s)
par
Peter F. Corless (Reg. 33,860)
Attorney for Applicants
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP
P.O. Box 9169
Boston, MA 02209
Inventor
Assignee of complete interest
Person authorized to sign on behalf of assignee
[X] Practitioner of record
Filed under Rule 34(a)
Registration No.
Other

183571